

## Regional Libraries Meeting – Transcript of audio

Hello everyone, and welcome back. This is our regional libraries meeting.

---

Hello, everyone. It is always nice to be here with you. Even virtually. I will take that since we can't be in person. Fingers crossed for next year that all will be better, and we will see one another in person again. This is the regional government information library and regal meeting. I will call that to order. For those of you that haven't come to this meeting before REGIL was formed in 2004 to organize meetings of the regional libraries in the FDLP. We have an archive of all of our information which is handled by North Dakota State University. REGIL leadership is composed of six volunteer members. We convened meetings. We organize agendas. We put together PowerPoint's, and here we are. Those meetings coincide with spring, and fall depository library council meetings. We are leadership currently. We are listed there. We are from all over the country as you can see. You have our email addresses, and we are in need of two new people. Two of us are rotating off of leadership. So, we will have two vacancies on November 1st. So, please if you are interested in helping us out we could use your help. Please contact one of us, and I will put up our email addresses again toward the end of the meeting. Right now our lien has a conflicting meeting, but she will join us later on in the hour. Arlene is currently serving as our moderator. If you need to have new staff added, or you aren't receiving messages. Please contact Arlene directly. She's at the state library of Oregon. Her email is there. And Arlene will be leading a discussion later after she arrives about the listserv. We have some important decisions to make about that as a group. Without further ado we are going to switch to our collection service area quarterly meeting. Which the USU leadership agreed to use part of our hour for Ashley to do that. I know that none of us wanted to schedule yet another meeting. The autumn is always really busy for all of us. I thought, yes this is a good use of our time. It'll help us all out. Over to you Ashley.

---

Okay. Thank you very much. All right. So, thank you all for letting me have the opportunity to talk with all of you here. Quick updates for you all. I would like to give you as much time as possible to talk amongst yourself on your other topics. The national collection service area pages or, the NCSA pages we've been talking about for a while. They have gone live on FDLP.gov. You have the hyperlinks to these places on the slide. We will make this flight available in the archive. If you want to browse them on FDLP.gov you just click on FDLP.gov. About the Trenton national collection. You will see on the right side there there's all the national collection service area information. That first link there. We created a page specifically about national collection service areas with their impact on our depository libraries. As well as GPO. We put in agreements that we are actively seeking a nice map of the NCSA's. We also updated the existing partnerships page where you can find information about preservation stewards. Including information about preservation stewards for older material . As well as information about how to sign up for newer material. We also created a page for regional online selections. Which you can regional online selections is where regionals can deselect paper titles in favor of the online format. That page includes information about which libraries have signed agreements, and the workflow that is involved in signing those agreements. And what the qualifications for using those agreements to authorize other regionals in a service area to deselect the paper format in favor of that online format. Then we have a page on regional discards. Which includes information about what qualifies for regional discards. And the workflows that original means to follow that the superintendent of documents has authorized. Then we have the four NCSA pages. Those are the pages I think most people are going to be interested in. If you are in the Midwest service area that is the page that you are going to go to to figure out what has your regional library been authorized to deselect in paper format. It's going to keep you around of course to the instructions, or workflows that need to be follow if you decide to implement either

regional discards, or regional online selection. All right. Other updates that I have for you. We've had the regional online selection public policy statements updated the original public policy statement said that we had to get four agreements among the four NCSA's before we can authorize a regional to deselect the paper format. Now, we are recognizing that in agreement and one service area will work for the regionals and that service area. In the other service areas around them they are still going to be bound by the existing traditional regional network. That policy. It closed for comments on September 9th. We are awaiting the final revised policy statement. The regional discards public policy statement that was also updated to reflect that same NCSA network. If NCSA gets a preservation Stewart agreement in one place, and the other regionals in that NCSA can then be authorized if it is eligible. In the other regionals in the other service areas are again still bound by that existing regional framework. That policy closed for comments on October 8th, and we are still awaiting the final revised policy statement. When we get to those revised policy statements and place the superintendent of documents can start authorizing regionals to deselect paper formats. So, keep your eyes out for news about that. All right. Let's talk about some of the agreements. Some updates there. I don't have any print selector agreements to announce since we are in July. Once we get that was I revised I expect we will be issuing authorizations for regionals to select the CFR's. It all depends on which service area you are in. Some service areas have agreements for one, but not the other. It just depends on which service you are in. Regarding preservation Stewart agreements. The partnership team has been very busy reviewing existing agreements. In particular they have added a day forward, or a current element to some of those agreements. Which means we can use the preservation Stewart agreement to authorize regionals to select the online formats. So, regional online selection can kick in, because we have these preservation stewards. The titles have to be eligible, and things like that. It's kind of an interesting little twist. Being able to authorize a regional to deselect something in paper, because we have a preservation Stewart who was agreeing to get something in the future. Otherwise, once we get the regional discards policy revised again. Again, regional discards. If you're actually interested in the details of the preservation Stewart agreements I would refer you to the update that Susanna Daniels gave at the conference. You can find that recording in the training repository. Let's talk about microfiche. The last of the CFR's were shipped out. It took a bit of time to get a bit of volumes converted into microfiche, and shipped out. But they are done. We are wrapping up distribution of the. The contract expired on April 30th, and they are still going through the conversion process. But we are close. The bound congressional record. We are still definitely in the conversion process. The contract just expired on September 30th. They are still in the process of going through, and doing the last few volumes for conversion. The Federal Register, and list of CFR selections affected. Those conditions again they are not going to wrap up until the end of the calendar year. We are going to get you a complete edition of the 2022 Federal Register in the LSA. That's going to wrap up at the end of the hundred 17th Congress second session. Which is January 3rd, 2023. Again, for those last three titles there. The LSA, and daily congressional record. We are going to be into 2023 when we finally wrap up distribution of that. A fun factoid. As of early October 82.5% of the microfiche content has been fully distributed. All right. Next steps with microfiche distribution. As you know we've been distributing the last of the microfiche. What we've been doing is we've been waiting two months to ensure that the catalog records are fully distributed to anybody who might've got the microfiche. There we were in activating the numbers. Then we had a snack. The activation of item numbers is on hold for a moment. It's because the monographs are super easy. Right? We ship the microfiche out. We catalog it. We wait for the record to go out to whoever got the microfiche. Serials are presenting us with a different workflow here. We have to treat serial content, and microfiche a little bit differently. When we ship out the last of the microfiche we want the record to be closed off. Not because that serial title has ceased. But what we found is that if the paper format serial record continues on, because that title is contract continuing to be distributed in paper format. Cataloging wolf says we can't close out the microfiche serial record. In theory somebody can take the paper copy. Create

a microfiche equivalent of it, and then they would need a microfiche record for it. We are not distributing the microfiche anymore. We would like to close the records out. Apparently, we have to seek an exception to close out those serial microfiche records. I think we have about 278 microfiche records to close off in this point in time. When we are able to do that we have to wait every two months to ensure that everyone who gets the microfiche actually gets those records that we've just closed off. Then we will resume, and activate those item numbers. The reason we are not activating the item numbers right now is because we need to make sure that libraries that get the microfiche also get the catalog records associated with that microfiche. If you drop the item numbers, or in activate the item number is going to drop off your selection profile. All right. FTM, or FDL manager. You've heard us talk about it briefly. About this new document. We will begin beta testing for this tool in physical year 2020 three quarter one. Which as you know is October, November, December. Ending any outcomes from that beta testing. We hope to be live shortly thereafter. Of course I think the things that regionals, and selective's are going to be most interested in. What I'm really hoping to underscore though is that FDM is going to show libraries who is selecting what item numbers by national collection service area, and state. Hopefully, it is easier for people to understand who is getting what so they can make better collection management decisions locally. Also, we're hoping it'll help libraries better understand what they're profiled for in general. And what format they are selecting. Over the spring, and the summer as we were really working through the backlog and distribution. We've been holding shipments for a while. When we plowed through that backlog my unit were getting questions from a lot of. Why am I getting this box? I've been digital for years. The answer is you are not all-digital. You haven't been digital for years. In fact you select 500, 600 items that have a tangible component to them. They just don't know that they are profiled for it in that format. We are hoping that with FDM they better understand what they're profiled for. The formats they are profiled for. And if they want to take that knowledge, and go over to we are going to have training to help them make that connection there. Then the last thing I wanted to update you all on is we heard our last quarterly meeting that some people expressed concerns that there was a lot of faith being put in print selectors, and preservation stewards. The concerns of course are that we need to be sure that paper copies are in fact being compiled deposited in those libraries that have agreed to select these things for their agreements. In order to help ensure that agreements are still being adhered to I want to stress that we do a quarterly check. We do four things were made to this site check. Print selectors. Anyone who signed that agreement we make sure they are still continuing. Preservation stewards for day forward material, or current material moving forward. We make sure they are still continuing to select that content for their agreement. Regional copy selectors. Those are people that have signed up to agreed to take on the regional copy for their regional. Remember back in February, March, and April of this year regional sent in that copy list spreadsheet. You made a note of who was getting something on your behalf. If it was applicable. We have gone, and made sure that anyone who has signed up to be a regional copy selector. Make sure that they are mitigating those titles. After that we go through, and take a look at every regional selection profile. We make sure they are comprehensive intangible selections. Except of course if they've been authorized deselected. Or, if they've made note anywhere else is saying I've got a regional. Then we are okay. We understand what is going on. We are confident that the region itself is confident. That is actually my updates. I believe I am to pass the ball over to Jen at this point. I will take a look at the chat logs, and see if any questions have come. Jen, you now have the ball.

---

Thank you. All right. I'm going to open up the discussion. But we are going to skip number one until I know that Arlene is here. I just checked, and she's not here yet. Let's open it up for discussion. We welcome your contributions in chat. You will get to listen to me read your chat statements out. I know it's thrilling for all of you. Oh, Arlene is here. Thank you. We are not skipping number one. Arlene. Are you in the spot where you can talk? Or, do we need to pull you up?

---

I just pulled her up.

---

Okay. Perfect. Arlene I hand it off to you. And you are muted. There you go. I can see you. Perfect.

---

Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having trouble with the mute. Can you hear me?

---

We can hear you.

---

Okay, wonderful. Thanks everyone. Sorry to be late in attending. I just wanted to give all the regional staff just an update on what is going on with regional L. Which is our listserv for communicating amongst the regional coordinators. Basically, what is happening is our very long time host for the listserv had contacted me, and asked if we could migrate off their platform. Since we are the only nonaffiliated CN I group still affiliated on their platform. I thought wow, that is interesting. Let me figure out what we can do to think about migrating off. I do think that it makes sense that we transition to another lister platform. I think one that made the most sense, and had the most flexibility is Google groups. Unless anybody has any serious objections to using Google for this platform that is what my plan is to do. Is to transition over to that platform. One of the things in anticipating doing the transition. I thought this was a great opportunity to think about refreshing the list. Making sure that we have all the regional coordinators, and designated staff that we want on the list. Also, kind of catch up with all of our retirees. And that kind of stuff. Maybe it's time to finally get that list cleaned up. What I've done is I've taken the membership list, and I organize it by state. What I'm going to do to plan for the migration is to just contact each state, and confirm the names of the staff that you want on that list. Then we will be prepared to do a mass subscription. Then we will make sure we have all the up-to-date information about email addresses, and that kind of stuff. I'm not exactly sure of a timeline at this point. I would say my goal would be to try to get this migration done before the end of the year. But I'm not 100% sure that will happen. We are not under a time crunch from CNI necessarily. They are happy to let us have some time to transition. Eventually, they probably will kick us off if we don't do something. I guess the main thing is you will be seeing some communication from me. All the regional coordinators confirming who you want from your state to be on the list, and more details on the regional out list about wind transitions, and timelines will be taking place. I'll be happy to answer any questions in the chat. I will go ahead, and turn it over to whoever is next.

---

Thank you, Arlene. Thank you for all of the work that you do with the listserv. Thank you for that, and for charting a new course for us. Folks, feel free to chime into the chat to Arlene's questions if you have any serious concerns. If not I'm going to give us about 30 seconds to see if anybody frantically tight types into the chat message. If not think you Arlene for proposing that plan. Yes. Thank you Sarah for weighing in. Okay. Discussion .2. It's about the regional discard policy revision. I'm going to scroll back up, because Cindy put something in the chat about this. Cindy reports that the newly revised policies will become effective shortly. The new superintendents of documents says he's willing to sign the policy. It's being signed, and put into effect. It'll probably be next week. Keep an eye out for a news alert. So, there is the latest from GPO on a regional discard policy revision. Any comments from the community, or other regional coordinators who were in attendance? On the regional discard policies. Cindy wants to know if we have any questions about the policies? Thank you, Cindy. I'm being patient. I know sometimes it can take a bit to type. Thank you Valerie. Valerie asks how many regionals have taken advantage of the discard policy?

---

That's a very good question. I'm trying to think of who would be the point person at GPO that would have that factoid at their fingertips? You have to ask GPO after you've been authorized to be. You still have to ask GPO for permission. I'm not sure I have that at my disposal how many people have done that. Bear with me one moment as I try to get you guys an answer.

---

Thanks, Ashley. Valerie clears clarifies this. While Ashley is looking that up Susanna writes so, if a preservation steward withdraws that collection will have to go to someone else in the region? Not sure if we have the right person from GPO on the call to answer that. I just signed a preservation steward agreement. It says that I have to tell GPO that I no longer am a party to it. There is a timeline involved in the agreement. Then on GPO's and it is up to them of whether it has to go to someone else in the region. Or, what happens there. Cindy clarifies the other policy is regional selections of online versions with having to select -- without having to select a corresponding tangible. The other policy revision that we may want to ask questions about is about selecting the online versions with no tangible copy. In response to Suzanne's question GPA will look for preservation stewards. That is what happens there.

---

Suzanne is in the webinar here, obviously. Lori thinks she is signed between 1 to 3 authorizations for regionals to be. But, it was a long time ago. None of them have been signed recently.

---

Okay. Yeah, for some reason I think public papers are the president to discuss at the regionals meeting back in person. Okay. Valerie says thank you. Any other questions for GPO about the new regional policies? Either about discards, or selecting online rather than tangible. Abigail from GPO says, yes the titles that have been authorized for regional discard are on the new NCSA pages. There are only a few titles authorized. If any of us have questions for Cindy she has delightfully shared your email in the chat. Thank you Cindy. Abby clarifies each regional has to request to actually discard material under the regional discard authorizations. Even if the other titles are already listed on the NCSA page. Thank you to all of GPO who have clarified that part of the process. Okay. Let's move on to points number three. Which is any discussion we may want to have about the task force for a digital Federal depository Library program. As we have all seen. The draft is out for comments. Any discussion points? Anyone want to spring forward on this topic? Jenelle asks did any other regional submit a public comment on behalf of their institution? Thank you Abby for sharing the regional discard page. Which has recently been updated. Jenelle clarified she was asked by our state library and to respond on behalf of the Arizona State Library. [Captioners transitioning] Selena says that she thinks ARL commented as well. Abigail shares that this is the page for the regional online selection which relates to authorization for regionals to opt to select only online format going forward, so it doesn't relate to discarding or weeding currently held tangible collections. Thank you for that. Cindy clarifies that ALA commented. That's good. Any questions, comments, or concerns that you would like to share as part of this meeting? Not necessarily about the comment process. Okay. Arlene says related to regional L, she regionally discovered that we have three states that are not represented on the email list. She will be reaching out rather than sharing that generally. Thank you, Arlene. I was wondering the same thing. The new FDL directory should help in identifying contacts in those three states. Oh. John, are you new? Welcome if you are. We will be out reaching out to you, Arlene. The state library groups comment. Arlene reports, there is a -- on the working group, but I'm not sure a comment was made. At least representation by someone. Valerie asks, -- oh, now the chat is really moving. Valerie asks, did anyone findings in the report that really resonated with them or that they totally disagreed with? Cindy in response to the question says, I'm not certain the rep -- broader task force. Lori reports that she is looking at the spreadsheet as of September 28th and she sees about 2 to 3 regional librarians who commented. Quinn writes in the chat, Congressman Rodney Davis Republican of Illinois ranking minority member of the administration visited the University of Hawaii at the government and maps department. Davis was

accompanied by the committee of the Council and staff member -- the purpose of the visit was to discuss the impact of plans to modernize the FDLP. Aside from the obvious, going, I know you share this on the regional L, aside from the obvious, they were in Hawaii, why you? Do you have any idea? Has anyone -- I know Gwen asked this on the list. Has anyone else had a member of the committee on House of administration visit? Gwen writes, she understood they visited Alaska and Puerto Rico last year. At least they are getting outside the contiguous states. Several reports she hadn't heard about Puerto Rico. I'm going to re-up Valerie's question to the group or to anyone findings in the report that resonated with them or that they disagreed with? Arlene writes, I wish the report discussed more options for libraries that want to be axes oriented rather than collection oriented. Thank you for sharing that, Arlene. Janel writes, I wish that the topic of digital deposit such as whether it would have to have digital repositories for storing digital materials in the future. It was explored in greater detail. Thanks, Janel. Arlene is looking forward to the development of more models for different levels of participation in the program. I think that's a solid take away their. I think we see that a bit now. But having articulated in a way that is more easily understandable for folks new to the program would be great. Janel, I will say that some of the recommendations from counsel for the spring meeting were around the topic of digital deposit and hoping to better clarify the expectations or opportunities -- the difference there. We saw a lot of questions about that over the last two DLC meetings from the community. Counsel has been working with JPL on that. I think you will see that in the recommendations. We will discuss further at the business meeting. Tomorrow. Sorry. The Council business meeting tomorrow. All right. Point number four. Super particular. Placeholder. This is for anything that we didn't think to put on the slide that you all would like to bring forward. This is basically the opportunity for you all to chime in with things that you all want to bring to the groups attention or offer up for a larger discussion. Thanks, Arlene, for providing another comment on number three. The digital FDLP. Arlene writes, I think the report is a good job of laying out the scope of the issue of an all-digital depository program but doesn't give much in the way of how to move forward. Thank you for the comment. And Charlie +1 [ Applause ]s Arlene. Arlene asks, what are planned next steps? Just to clarify, Arlene, you mean with the digital FDLP? Yeah. With the working group. Great question. Anyone from GPO that is available want to weigh in on that?

---

Hey, this is Lori Hall. Afternoon, everyone. Arlene, are you asking about what the next steps are once -- gets the report and tells us what to do next? Yeah? Is that the question? Is everybody think that is the question that Arlene want to know? Once we deliver the report, then -- yeah, I guess I wasn't sure. Okay. After he reviews -- obviously, he will probably say, yeah, go forward Christine Blake is going to stay with us and help us do the next phase of training. It will probably be answering some of the questions that you guys have put in the chat. Figure out how we are going to organizationally based on how we're going to start planning for the organization and the limitation of something like this. So, yeah. That is kind of in general what we all discussed. I think we are going to do after action to see if we missed anything. Yeah. That is where we are so far. That is what has come out. Is there going to be another working group? Probably not another working group. What I won't say no to that. As of yet. I think a lot of it is going to be focused on implementation internally and externally. Yeah, I'm not quite sure. Good questions.

---

Thank you, who Laurie.

---

Is not a little bit solidified yet, but I think we are trying to all the comments in. Get the report. Now we are a postholiday now that Christine and Scott are here, we will have some brainstorming sessions on exactly what the next steps are going to be aired

---

Perfect. Thank you. I will take that to DLC to counsel as well. As something we can do to help better communicate moving forward. Thank you. Okay. I know I skip the question. I'm going back up to it. Janelle asks the group, has anyone heard much about their collectives? From their collectives about the report? She hasn't heard much from this electives in Arizona. Sorry to hear that, Deborah, that you are at the FDLP conference. Maybe it is you. Suzanne writes, my selectives mostly want to know if they can be more if the FDLP goes digital. Yeah. There is that normal thing. Kathy writes, I had not heard from the selectives. More and more them want to go digital because of staffing and space. I will +1 Kathy on that. Renee writes, Janelle, the all-digital task work came up in our selective meeting here in North Carolina. There was some initial concern. That was before the draft came out though and I haven't heard any particulars since then. Thank you, Renee. Arlene writes, I actually think most selectives in my state are pretty disengaged with these discussions. They want to see more concrete information before weighing in. Natalie +1s Arlene. I haven't heard much from the selectives. Gwen writes nothing in Hawaii. Deborah is retiring next week. Deborah, congratulations, and thank you for all your time here. Scott writes -- a A.L.L. and ALA had, webinars and heard from about a dozen selective's each. In each of those. I am assuming people can hear me but I am getting a couple of comments that I may be muted. We may have some technical issues.

---

We can hear you.

---

Thank you. Thank you to everyone who does let me know they can hear me. Perfect. Thank you. Okay. So, Barbara is one of my selectives here in Utah. She reports our library is on board. Thank you, Barbara, and good to see you. Okay. Just a re-up. The comment webinars at both the law library and at -- had about a dozen selectives each. That is good to hear. The broader community is also hearing from selectives. Scott writes, Arlene's point is very fair. Okay. Thank you for engaging in that discussion. Anything else that folks want to bring to the group? Since the chat has gotten quite again. Valerie writes on a different note, just want to say I really enjoyed the presentation. Yesterday afternoon. We are about to go the reorganization process and may find our home in library organization changing. As a presenter in that, thank you, Valerie. Charlie writes, I am more upset about the changes in regional discard policies than going all-digital. Thank you, Charlie. I think we have seen that in comments in other sessions as well. Thank you for sharing again here. I think it is an important point. James writes, I'm sure folks are tired of hearing for me. But FG I posted it's comments here. Which FDI stands for freak of info. James provides a link. Thank you, James. Robbie agrees with Valerie. For those that weren't able to attend the archivist session yesterday, it was about reorienting to draw upon an archival mind-set and helping to justify historic elections. Which many of the regionals here on this call may identify with quite greatly. The session was Kate, Tom, out of Boulder and the regionals for Colorado. And myself discussing our relatively new placement within special collections and archives as our organizational home within our libraries. Thank you, Kelly, for sharing the link in the chat. Arlene writes, FDLP collections are deftly in the category of special collections in her humble opinion. Couldn't agree more. Barbara says, if FDLP goes all-digital, he will provide extra computers and printing when the public needs to print out the information which is only digital? I am at the Chicago public library. A great question, Barbara. And one of the other topics that came up similarly to Barbara's point about digital only axis and thinking like an archivist combining those two topics, James had some very notable points about archiving born digital materials. This goes back to the point about digital deposit earlier also. James writes, fascinating, but I worry a little bit about because archivists have found very different concepts about collection development and access to libraries due in general. Thanks for the point, James. Arlene writing towards Barbara's comment. We need to do more documentation of the need to print out information keeping stats would be good to pass along to GPO. That is a good point, Arlene. I am reminded of the pilot project for access to tangible collections as we were considering the online selection. Policy where

several regionals agreed the pilot keeping stats of how many things they had to interlibrary loan. Or access as we were considering new things. If you are willing to keep tabs on how many printouts you have to do of government information, we can find a home for the data I am sure. Suzanne writes, I would love to be aligned with archives, but there is significant structural and organizational issues. Thank you, Suzanne. Brent writes, I wonder if the need or want for more print or tangible materials is greater at a public library than an academic library. I wonder that too, rent. A variety of libraries that can participate in the FDLP deftly have a different experience. And when agrees with Brent. James, I see government documents going to special collections. Someone also said that in the concurrent session just now, they were headed to special collections. Thank you, Sinai. And I certainly think that there are -- this is not to say that the topic was not to become archivists. It is which methods can we adopt and where do we need to forge our own path to make sure what we need to do is professional is retained to Cindy shares regional depository library's online such as policy posting limitation analysis. Thank you, Cindy, for finding the thing I was trying to refer to very vaguely. This is the data that folks collected in advance of the online selection policy. We could consider something similar. Or Prince. All right. We are in our last eight minutes. Thank you, Arlene. Arlene writes, we name the new need new sophisticated -- Kathy writes, aligning with archives was more for university libraries and being out of their special collections areas. This has a different meaning in state and public libraries. Thank you for sharing that, Kathy. Suzanne is us in question for GPO. Would it be possible to organize all of this guidance in one place on the FDLP site? -- Writes, being in an academic library, we definitely see only being used more than print. Students are used to being completely online so they get their information that way almost 100% compared to print. All right. I am going to do a final call for comments. We will need to wrap up. Sorry for the slight advancement. I know there is a better way to do this. I have gone back to the contact slide so that you all can see our contact information. Several people in the chat agreeing with Brylynn. Suzanne, Charlie. Charlie reports, I have yet to see the print touched by staff. She also says sorry further up. Many in a public Larry library may not own their own computers. And he reports the same at her community college library. Cindy shares, the superintendent public policy guidance and reports. Which may or may not have the request that Suzanne made above, but there is a link to check out. Go ahead. Oh, Lori is joining. And then Brylynn writes, anything in my documents that do not get checked out are actually in my U.N. materials. Okay. Well, I have left my last regional read meeting at leadership on a high note reading every comment. I'm sorry for those of you that had to listen to me the entire time. Especially if I butchered your name or didn't read your comment with its intended purpose. My apologies. We are actively seeking someone to replace myself and Haley. So, thank you to Haley for her service. She is also serving on jury duty today. So she was not able to be with us. But, Laurie, I am going to turn it back over to you to close out the meeting. Thank you so much for moderating the initial session today, and, Jenelle, thank you for taking the notes.

---

I would like to reiterate, Jenelle's thank you to both Jen and Haley for their REGIL service. I am going to encourage all of you to think about filling in their shoes. We need two of you to come on board. Please reach out to one of us including Jen or Haley. They know how the finest. To let us know that you are interested in assisting with regal REGIL work. Also be on the lookout for messages from Arlene about migrating your list serve people in your state. So, that is going to be really important work because we want to stay in communication with all of you. So, watch all of your email boxes just in case that message slips into the junk file because it is going to be important to keep you up-to-date with what is happening. And with that, I will close our meeting for this conference. Thank you, everyone.

---

Thank you, Laurie. We will be back in just about 15 minutes with our final session of the day on documenting your collection history. That is 4:45 Eastern time. Thank you.